## **EXHIBIT E**

August 25, 2003 Omnibus Hearing Transcript

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:

. Case No. 01-01139

W.R. GRACE,

5414 USX Tower Building Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Debtor. .

August 25, 2003

12:02 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONE CONFERENCE BEFORE HONORABLE JUDITH K. FITZGERALD UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor:

Kirkland & Ellis
By: JANET BAER, ESQ.
CHRISTIAN LANE, ESQ.
200 East Randolph Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60601

For Costa & Thornburg:

PETER CHAPMAN, ESQ. 24 Perdicaris Place Trenton, NJ 08618

For Unsecured Creditors:

Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

By: LEWIS KRUGER, ESQ.

180 Maiden Lane

New York, New York 10038

Audio Operator:

Janet Kozloski

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-Mail: jjcourt@optonline.net

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

APPEARANCES (Cont'd)

For Personal Injury Claimants Committee: Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered By: PETER LOCKWOOD, RSQ. One Thomas Circle, NW Washington, DC 20055

For Asbestos Claimants:

Campbell & Levine
By: MARLA ESKIN, ESQ.
CHRISTIAN LANE, ESQ.
800 King Street, Ste. 300
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

For Maryland Casualty:

Connoily, Bove, Lodge & Hutz LLP By: JEFFREY WISLER, ESQ. 1220 Market Street, 10th Floor Wilmington, Delaware 19899

For Libby Mine Claimants:

Klehr, Harrison, Harvey Branzburg & Ellers By: STEVEN KORTANEK, ESQ. 919 Market Street, Ste 1000 Wilmington, Delaware 19801

For Libby Mine Claimants:

Cohn, Khoury, Madoff & Whitesell, LLP By: DANTEL COHN, ESQ. 101 Arch Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02110

For Asbestos Property Damage claimants:

DAVID ZICLE, ESQ.

For the Petitioners:

SHELDON RITTY, ESQ.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is the matter of 2 W.R. Grace, Bankruptcy Number 01-1139 pending in the District 3 of Delaware.

I understand I'm to get a sign-in sheet that's going 5 to be faxed here. That may make the most sense. For purposes 6 of this transcript, when you speak would you picase just 7 dentify yourselves, I think that may be the casiest thing to do today.

8

11

12

13|

16

17

18

20

24

25

I have entered orders on Number 4C, which was the 10 Debtor's Motion to File a Reply Brief. And I also entered an order on the Certification of Counsel on Item Number 6. I understand that Items 1 and 2 have been continued and apparently 1 got revised orders on 7 and 8, which are here in court. Lot me take a look, I haven't seen these yet. I will look at 7 right now. All right, 7, I don't see a problem with, so Rachel, have you been presented with copies of these revised orders there too?

MS. BELLO: I think it's cc'd on the e-mail, Your 19 Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Then what's casier for you, do you 21 want me to sign this and have it -- 7, has a huge attachment to 22 it, I don't want to have to try to docket this whole thing from 23 here.

MS. BELLO: Okay, I can do that.

THE COURT: All right. Why don't you stamp the one

that was filed on 7 and also the one filed on 8.

MS. BELLO: Okay.

2

3

4 5

6

7

В

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

23

24

THE COURT: They're both fine. So let me make a note here. Okay, I'll get those docketed in Delaware. So I believe then that leaves me with hearings on 3, 4 and 5.

MS. BELLO: Your Honor, in addition to that, on behalf of the debtor, you had also asked for a status on the Gerard matter that was moved on to Judge Wolin?

THE COURT: Yes, I did\_

MR. CHAPMAN: Your Honor, this is Peter Chapman, representing Costa and Thornburg on Number 6, there was a follow-up to that regarding W.R. Grace, whereas the first order was principally about Baker & Taylor, Inc.

THE COURT: I received a C.O.C. 1 stamped that order. If there's been a follow-up order, I haven't received i t. .

MR. CHAPMAN: There hasn't been an order, but you had 18 asked them to follow-up with the criminal folk and we can talk 19 about that when we need to get a final determination as to W.R. Grace, the stay on W.R. Grace and the agreements that have been reached. We need to get you an order probably to the agreements that have been reached or will be reached today with respect to W.R. Grace.

THE COURT: Okay, yes. I'm not aware of what's going 25 $\parallel$  on there, so why don't we just -- I'll just add that to the

agenda and porhaps you can give me a status report when we get there them. MR. CHAPMAN: Okay, thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. 5 Okay, who is present for the debtor? 6 MS. BAER: Your Honor, Janet Baer, on behalf of the debtor, also in the courtroom is Chris Lane. 8 THE COURT: I'm sorry, we can't -- it's very difficult to understand what you're saying. 10 MS. BAER: Your Honor, I'll speak directly into the 11 microphone as foudly as I can. 12 THE COURT: That's better. 13 MS. BAER: Janet Baer, on behalf of the debtor, also 14 present for the debter are Christian Lane and Paula Galbraith. 15 THE COURT: All right, thank you. 16 Where do you want to start? MS. BAER: Your Honor, I believe that, as discussed 17 18 with your clerk, we were going to start with the status on the 19∦ Gerard matter, and if that's where you'd like us to start, we'd 20 | be happy to do so. 21 THE COURT: That's fine, go ahead. 22 MS. BAER: Your Honor, as you may recall, this is a 23 situation where you entered an order denying the plaintiff's motion to modify the preliminary injunction. On July 16th,

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC.

25 Judge Wolin entered an order vacating and remanding that

1 matter, both Maryland Casualty Company and W.R. Grace has filed notices of appeal of Judge Wolin's order. Under those circumstances, Your Honor, T think the status quo should be maintained and there's nothing further for this Court to do unless the Gerard parties decide to move further in this court for other relief.

THE COURT: Is anybody there representing the Gerards?

3

4

5

8

9

10

) )

13||

15

16

17

19

20 li

21

24

MS. BAER: Yes, Your Honor, they are.

THE COURT: Okay, may I hear from them, please?

MR. KORTANEK: Your Honor, this is Steve Kortanek with Klehr Harrison, we're Delaware counsel for the claimants, and with me today is Dan Cohn, who is lead litigation counsel, T'd like to move his admission for purposes of this hearing.

THE COURT: Have you filed a motion?

MR. KORTANEK: Your Honor, we'll check, Your Honor, there are other lawyers working on the matter, if not, we will 18 certainly file one.

THE COURT: All right. Who is Mr. Cohn, and where is he admitted?

MR. KORTANEK: Mr. Cohn is admitted in Boston, as 22 well as other jurisdictions, Your Honor, excuse me, 23 Massachusetts as well as other jurisdictions.

THE COURT: All right. He's admitted for today, but 25 | it has to be followed up with a motion pro hac, please.

3

7

8

11

14

1.5

17

18

19

21

22

MR. COHN: Good morning, Your Honor, this is Dan Cohn, my firm is Cohn, Khoury, Madoff & Whitesell. We are not strictly speaking litigation counsel, we are handling the bankruptcy aspects of the case for the Libby Mine claimants. Our view is somewhat different from that of the debtor. The injunction has now been vacated so far as we are concerned, and we intend to go forward with the litigation.

THE COURT: Well, okay. I mean, at this point I'm not exactly sure what I'm supposed to do. That's the reason I set this status conference up. Obviously, I don't have jurisdiction to do anything while the matter is on appeal. if you're going forward somewhere else, I guess you take your chances there. But before me, what can I do if the matter is on appeal?

MR. COHN: We're not asking you to do anything and I agree with your assessment that this Court could not have jurisdiction while the case is on its way to the 3rd Circuit.

THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll simply ask the debtor to monitor this, and it at any point in time it is ripe for me to do something to put it back on my agenda because T don't know how I'm going to track it with two appeals filed of an order that is not going to be treated by the Circuit as though it's 23 coming from me case they'll look at it as Judge Wolin's order. 24 So when something happens by the Circuit, then the debtor is to 25 put this back on the agenda for a status report.

Okay, anything else on that onc?

2

3 **i**l

б

8 II

101

11

15|

16

17

18

19

201

21

22

23

24

MR. WISLER: Yes, Your Honor, Jeff Wisler, on behalf of Maryland Casualty Company. Your Honor may choose to act on this or not, but I want to make our position clear while Gerard counsel is here. They filed a motion to clarify or modify an injunction that was over a year old at the point that they filed their motion. That injunction still exists and that injunction expressly, in two ways, prohibits any liligation against Maryland Casually Company relating to asbestos exposure. I don't think they would deny that that injunction still exists. They won't deny that their suit relates to 12 asbestos exposure. They can't deny that Judge Wolin did not reverse Your Honor's decision, he only vacated the decision and 13| that decision was on the order on their motion to clarify. 14 Judge Wolin, did not in any way accept or rule upon the injunction that's still stands with an order of this Court. So unless Gerard and the co-movents in the original motion are planning to do something with Your Honor's original injunction, there is nothing more to be done, the injunction stands and their motion to clarify is still on appeal to the 3rd Circuit. THE COURT: Well, I didn't understand that Judge

Wolin set the injunction aside, but I'm not sure what any Court is going to do while it's on appeal anyway.

MR. WISLER: And Your Honor, he did not set the 25 injunction aside. He specifically vacated the order on a

1 motion to clarify to remand it for further proceedings. While 2 it's on appeal, I don't know that there's anything more that Your Honor is going to do, but there is no part in that, in Judge Wolin's order that can be deemed a reversal or a vacation of the actual injunction.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Cohn?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

181

19**|** 

21

22

MR. COHN: Your Honor, with all due respect to my colleague, the Libby Mine claimants disagree with that interpretation. It appears to us that he vacated the injunction as it relates to the Libby Mine claimants. I would not assert that there is anything more to take from that. Certainly the injunction that was entered by this Court still 13 stands as to everybody else as to whom it was entered.

THE COURT: Well, okay. As I said, I guess it's an 15 academic discussion because T don't see where anybody is going 16 to do anything while the issue is still on appeal. So at least before me, all I'm doing today is 1'm ordering the debtor when the 3rd Circuit does something, to put this back on the agenda for a slatus conference so I can find out whether I do or don't have to do something to comply with Judge Wolin's remand. 20

Okay, anything more on this one today?

MR. COHN: Well, Your Honor, if I may, this is Dan 23 Cohn again, while we do not intend to do anything in the 24 adversary proceeding that is pending in your court, I did want 25 to leave the record clear that it is our intention to, or the

intention of my co-counsel who is handling the trial aspect of this, to now seek a trial in the trial courts where this litigation is pending.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know how the state is going to have any better jurisdiction over it than the bankruptcy court does while the order is on appeal, but you know, that's up to you folks to decide what to do.

MR. COHN: We understand, Your Honor.

13||

19∦

MR. WISLER: Your Honor, this is Jeff Wisler again, I am not asking Your Honor to rule, but Your Honor has an injunction in place and the order of the District Court Judge Wolln says that the orders of the Bankruptcy Court dated June 20, 2002, is hereby vacated. Nothing in the order references the earlier preliminary injunction that still exists. So if the plaintiff decided to move forward in the state court. Litigation, they will be knowingly violating the injunction that expressly protects Maryland Casualty.

THE COURT: Well, if that's the parties' view, I'm — sure since I'm the one who entered the injunction, I'll be hearing from you on motions for contempt or whatever, but there's nothing before me today, so please, let's move onto something that is on the agenda today. I can't do anything with respect to the remand at this point in time because the issue is on appeal. If somebody violates an injunction in somebody else's view as an allegation, I'm sure I'll hear from

you and I'll deal with it then.

2

3

4

5

6

10

17

21

22

23

25

Okay, next, Ms. Baer?

MS. BAER: Thank you, Your Honor. The next matter on your call, Number 3, is the motion of the debtors for entry of an order approving the execution and performance under an administrative order with the EPA. Your Honor, no objections have been filed to this motion. There are more inquiries by the unsecured creditors committee. Information was provided and based on the unsecured creditor's committee's inquiries, the EPA has agreed to solely modify the administrative order that Grace intends to sign here to make it very clear that if 111 there are any stipulated penalties to be paid that Grace has 12 | not performed, those penalties will be the subject of this 13|| Court's jurisdiction. With that one change in the administrative order, the unsecured creditor's committee has no 16 objection, no objections were filed by anybody else and we would ask for an entry of an order permitting the debtor to enter into the administrative order with the EPA to do this 18| rather small amount of cleanup on debtor owned property in the 191 20 Libby Montana area.

THE COURT: Okay, does anybody want to be heard on Lhat issue?

Submit that order on a certification of counsel, 24 please, and T will sign it when I get it.

MS. BAER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Your Honor, actually the order is already in the binder, if you would at this point like to pull it out and sign it right now.

THE COURT: All right, just a second.

MS. BAER: It's Tab 3A.

1

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

Lb

16

17

18 🛭

19

20

THE COURT: All right, Rachel, please stamp the order, please, 4087.

MS. BAER: Your Honor, Rachel left the courtroom.

THE COURT: Oh, she has. Okay. I'll sign this one, I quess we can docketed it from here.

(Interruption in telephone conference)

THE COURT: I'm sorry, you're going to have to call back. I really can't deal with this kind of music and the other noise that's going on. It somebody puts us on hold, i don't know why they bother calling in to a call in and then put someone on hold. Is that music finished now?

All right, Ms. Baer, I've signed the order that's attached as Number 3A in the binder.

MS. BAER: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

Your Honor, the next matter on the agenda, Tlem Number 4, is the debtor's fifth motion for extension of the 22 exclusivity period. The deblors are requesting another six 23 months extension in the exclusivity period, Your Honor. While 24 we recognize that this case has been going on for a couple of 25 years and we have had exclusivity, we have made a tremendous

amount of progress in this case. It's a complicated case, there's a lot of factors that not all asbestos cases have. 2 we simply want to remind the Court that we have obtained a bar 3 date for non-asbestos, for property damage, for medical monitoring, and have obtained an order that includes a very 5 | significant EPA claim. We are now progressing with claimant's 6 objections. We received 15,000 claims as a result of the bar 7 date. We today, have before the Court, 1500 objections. are progressing with that and will continue to do so. Secondly, Your Honor, we have an interesting challenge in this 10 case of the Monalitae attic insulation. From day one, the 11 debtor has pushed to put in a procedure so that we can find 12 out what this claim is and whether it has merit. Your Honor 13 l very accommodatingly came up with a great procedure to move the matter forward, it has been fully briefed, it is set for 15 argument in mid-September, it's our understanding that if a complete summary judgment is not entered, we will shortly 17 proceed to trial, and hopefully very soon, we will have 181 clarification as to the Zonalitae claim and what the client 191 says about Zonalitae. Then the huge question mark and factor 20 that is not just convenient to have decided by the Court but really vital to decide how to proceed with the plan and how, if 22 23 you will, decide it's appropriately divided up when on one side 24 people believe the claims were zero and on the other side people who believe, mainly the Zonalitae claimants believe that